IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

:

QVC, INC.

Plaintiff,

. Civil Action No.

vs. : Civil Action No.

SHOP AT HOME, INC., :

:

Defendant.

:

COMPLAINT

1. This is a civil action for trademark infringement, trade dress infringement, false designation of origin and unfair competition arising under the Trademark Act of 1946, as amended, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1051 et seq. and the common law of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

PARTIES

- 2. Plaintiff, QVC, INC., is a Delaware corporation, having a principal place of business located at Studio Park, West Chester, PA 19380.
- 3. On information and belief, Defendant, SHOP AT HOME, INC., is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Tennessee, having a principal place of business at 5388 Hickory Hallow Parkway, Antioch, TN 37013, and is doing business and the complained of acts in this judicial district.

JURISDICTION

4. This Court has jurisdiction over this Action under 28

- U.S.C. § 1332, because Plaintiff is incorporated under the laws of the State of Delaware and has its principal place of business in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania; on information and belief, Defendant is incorporated under the laws of and has its principal place of business in the State of Tennessee; and the matter in controversy exceeds, exclusive of interest and costs, the sum of seventy-five thousand dollars.
- 5. This Court has jurisdiction over this action under 15 U.S.C. § 1121 and 28 U.S.C. § 1338(a), because this case arises under the Trademark Act of 1946, as amended, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1051 et seq.
- 6. This Court has jurisdiction over the common law claims herein under 28 U.S.C. § 1338(b), because those claims are joined with a substantial and related claim under the Trademark Act of 1946, as amended, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1051 et seg.

BACKGROUND

- 7. Plaintiff has been, and now is, extensively engaged in the business of providing in interstate commerce electronic retail shopping services, and since at least as early as 1994, has provided such services under the mark TODAY'S SPECIAL VALUE and the design mark TODAY'S SPECIAL VALUE.
- 8. Plaintiff is the prior user and owner of the mark TODAY'S SPECIAL VALUE. On March 21, 2000, the United States Patent and Trademark Office granted federal trademark registration to

Plaintiff for the mark TODAY'S SPECIAL VALUE for providing home shopping services in the field of general merchandise by means of television as Certificate of Registration No. 2,330,450. Attached hereto and incorporated herein as Exhibit 1 is a copy of Certificate of Registration No. 2,330,450.

- 9. The above Certificate of Registration and the mark covered thereby is valid and in full force and effect. Plaintiff has all right, title and interest in and to Certificate of Registration No. 2,330,450, and the mark covered thereby, to bring this suit.
- 10. In addition, Plaintiff is prior user and owner of the design mark TODAY'S SPECIAL VALUE, a copy of which is shown in Exhibit 2. Continuously since at least as early as 1994, Plaintiff has used the design mark TODAY'S SPECIAL VALUE to identify its electronic home shopping services and to distinguish them from those provided by others, by, among other things, prominently displaying the design mark TODAY'S SPECIAL VALUE in connection which said services.
- 11. Continuously, since at least as early as 1994, Plaintiff has established a substantial market for its electronic home shopping services offered and marketed under the TODAY'S SPECIAL VALUE mark and TODAY'S SPECIAL VALUE design mark, through its QVC television network, its online interactive shopping site, QVC.COM, its mail order catalogs and retail stores. Plaintiff's TODAY'S

SPECIAL VALUE mark and TODAY'S SPECIAL VALUE design mark are always shown in association with the services provided by it, which have been widely advertised and extensively offered under these marks. As a result of the Plaintiff's extensive exposure through its broadcasting, advertising, promotion and sale of the services in association with these marks, Plaintiff's TODAY'S SPECIAL VALUE mark and TODAY'S SPECIAL VALUE design mark have developed and now have a secondary and distinctive trademark meaning to consumers. The Plaintiff's TODAY'S SPECIAL VALUE mark and TODAY'S SPECIAL VALUE design mark have come to indicate to said consumers, a meaning of electronic home shopping services originating only with Plaintiff.

- 12. As a result of Plaintiff's exclusive, continuous and substantial use, and its continuous, extensive sales and advertising, Plaintiff's TODAY'S SPECIAL VALUE mark and TODAY'S SPECIAL VALUE design mark have come to represent an invaluable symbol of the goodwill of Plaintiff's business, and are recognized by consumers as identifying goods and services which have their source, origin or sponsorship with Plaintiff, and as distinguishing such goods and services from the goods and services of others.
- 13. In addition, continuously since long prior to the acts of Defendant alleged herein, Plaintiff has adopted and used a distinctive combination and arrangement of graphical screen elements (hereinafter "Trade Dress") for its screen displays, to

identify Plaintiff's goods and services and to distinguish them from those made and offered by others, by among other things, prominently and always displaying its Trade Dress during its broadcasts on the QVC television network, as shown in Exhibit 3.

- 14. Plaintiff's Trade Dress is not functional and is inherently distinctive.
- 15. Said goods and services have been widely distributed and offered under Plaintiff's Trade Dress throughout the United States, and this Trade Dress has become, through widespread and favorable public acceptance and recognition, an asset of substantial value as a symbol of Plaintiff, its quality goods and services, and its good will.

DEFENDANT'S UNLAWFUL CONDUCT

16. Notwithstanding Plaintiff's well known, prior common law rights in the TODAY'S SPECIAL VALUE mark and TODAY'S SPECIAL VALUE design mark and prior statutory rights in the TODAY'S SPECIAL VALUE mark, Defendant, with at least constructive notice of Plaintiff's federal registration rights under 15 U.S.C. § 1072, and long after Plaintiff established its rights in the TODAY'S SPECIAL VALUE mark and TODAY'S SPECIAL VALUE design mark, has adopted and used the designation TODAY'S BEST VALUE, in this judicial district and in interstate commerce, for its electronic retail shopping services. Attached as Exhibit 4 is a copy of Defendant's use of the infringing TODAY'S BEST VALUE designation.

- 17. In addition, Defendant has sought to capitalize on the goodwill engendered by Plaintiff's Trade Dress by adopting and using screen display graphics, substantially and confusingly similar to Plaintiff's Trade Dress, (hereinafter "graphics" or "Defendant's graphics"), in connection with Defendant's electronic retail shopping services. Attached as Exhibit 5 is a copy of a screen display of Defendant's television broadcast showing Defendant's use of said graphics.
- 18. Defendant's use of the TODAY'S BEST VALUE designation is an infringement of Plaintiff's TODAY'S SPECIAL VALUE mark and TODAY'S SPECIAL VALUE design mark. In addition, Defendant's use of its graphics is an infringement of Plaintiff's Trade Dress.
- 19. Defendant's use of the TODAY'S BEST VALUE designation and Defendant's use of its infringing graphics are likely to cause confusion, to cause mistake and to deceive as to the affiliation, connection or association of Defendant with Plaintiff and as to the origin, sponsorship, or approval of Defendant's goods and services by Plaintiff.
- 20. On information and belief, Defendant has long known of Plaintiff's goods and services and the fact that the public associates Plaintiff's TODAY'S SPECIAL VALUE mark, TODAY'S SPECIAL VALUE design mark and Trade Dress with the goods and services of Plaintiff, and Defendant has sought to capitalize on Plaintiff's goodwill by adopting and using the TODAY'S BEST VALUE designation

and by copying the distinctiveness of Plaintiff's Trade Dress.

- 21. Upon information and belief, Defendant has performed the complained of acts willfully, and with the knowledge of the infringement and unfair competition they would cause, and to appropriate and unfairly trade upon the Plaintiff's goodwill.
- 22. By reason of Defendant's acts alleged herein, Plaintiff has and will suffer damage to its business, reputation and goodwill, and Defendant has and will enjoy profits to which it is otherwise not entitled, for which Plaintiff is entitled to relief at law.
- 23. Unless restrained and enjoined, Defendant will continue to do the complained of acts, all to Plaintiff's irreparable damage. It would be difficult to ascertain the amount of compensation which could afford Plaintiff adequate relief for such continuing acts, and a multiplicity of judicial proceedings would be required. Plaintiff's remedy at law is not adequate to compensate Plaintiff for injuries threatened.

COUNT I FEDERAL TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT

- 24. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each of the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 23, as if fully set forth herein.
- 25. Defendant's use of the TODAY'S BEST VALUE designation is likely to cause confusion, deception or mistake, all to the damage of Plaintiff, and is an infringement of Plaintiff's federally registered TODAY'S SPECIAL VALUE mark in violation of 15 U.S.C.

\$1114(1), \$32(1) of the Trademark Act of 1946, as amended.

COUNT II TRADE DRESS INFRINGEMENT

- 26. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each of the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 25, as if fully set forth herein.
- 27. Defendant's use of its graphics is an infringement of Plaintiff's Trade Dress, in violation of \$43(a) of the Trademark Act of 1946, as amended, 15 U.S.C. \$1125(a). Plaintiff's Trade Dress is nonfunctional, inherently distinctive and has become associated with and identifies Plaintiff. Defendant's use of its graphics in connection with its electronic retail shopping services is likely to cause confusion, to cause mistake and to deceive as to the affiliation, connection or association of Defendant with Plaintiff and as to the origin, sponsorship or approval of such services by Plaintiff.

COUNT III FALSE DESIGNATION OF ORIGIN

- 28. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each of the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 27, as if fully set forth herein.
- 29. Plaintiff's TODAY'S SPECIAL VALUE mark and TODAY'S SPECIAL VALUE design mark have become uniquely associated with and thus identify only Plaintiff. Defendant's use of the TODAY'S BEST VALUE designation is a false designation of origin which is likely to cause confusion, to cause mistake and to deceive as to the affiliation, connection or association of Defendant with Plaintiff

and as to the origin, sponsorship or approval of Defendant's goods and services by Plaintiff.

30. The aforesaid acts are in violation of §43(a) of the Trademark Act of 1946, as amended, 15 U.S.C. §1125(a), in that Defendant has used a false designation of origin, a false or misleading description and representation of fact which is likely to cause confusion, and to cause mistake, and to deceive as to the affiliation, connection, or association of Defendant with Plaintiff and as to the origin, sponsorship, and approval of Defendant's commercial activities by Plaintiff.

COUNT IV COMMON LAW TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT

- 31. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each of the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 30, as if fully set forth herein.
- 32. Defendant's use of the TODAY'S BEST VALUE designation constitutes trademark infringement of Plaintiff's TODAY'S SPECIAL VALUE mark and TODAY'S SPECIAL VALUE design mark, and causes likelihood of confusion, deception and mistake, and causes the purchasing public and the trade to think that Defendant's commercial activities are in some way sponsored, connected, owned or otherwise associated with Plaintiff, in violation of the common law of Pennsylvania.

COUNT V COMMON LAW UNFAIR COMPETITION

33. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each of the allegations

contained in paragraphs 1 through 32, as if fully set forth herein.

- 34. By virtue of Defendant's acts, hereinabove pleaded, Defendant has engaged in conduct which is contrary to honest, industrial and commercial practices and falls below the minimum standard of fair dealing, and constitutes unfair competition, in violation of the common law of Pennsylvania.
- 35. Defendant's use of the TODAY'S BEST VALUE designation and Defendant's use of its infringing graphics are calculated to confuse and deceive consumers and to cause consumers to believe that Defendant's commercial activities are connected with, or sponsored by Plaintiff.
- 36. Defendant's complained of acts are being committed with the intent, purpose and effect of procuring an unfair competitive advantage by misappropriating the valuable goodwill developed by Plaintiff at substantial effort and expense, and represented by the distinctiveness of Plaintiff's TODAY'S SPECIAL VALUE mark, TODAY'S SPECIAL VALUE design mark and Trade Dress.
- 37. By copying the distinctiveness of Plaintiff's TODAY'S SPECIAL VALUE mark, TODAY'S SPECIAL VALUE design mark and Trade Dress, the public and consumers will be deceived as to the source and sponsorship of Defendant's goods and services and Defendant will obtain business it could not otherwise obtain fairly on the open market.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, QVC, INC., prays for relief against Defendant, SHOP AT HOME, INC., as follows:

- 1. that Defendant, its officers, agents, servants, employees, and all persons and/or entities in active concert or participation with it, be immediately and preliminarily and thereafter permanently enjoined and restrained from:
- a. Using the TODAY'S SPECIAL VALUE mark, the TODAY'S SPECIAL VALUE design mark or any confusingly similar designation including without limitation, TODAY'S BEST VALUE alone or in combination with other terms or symbols, as a trademark, trade name component or otherwise, as a domain name component, directory name, or other such computer addresses, and/or to market, advertise or identify Defendant's goods and services;
- b. Using graphics, in connection with its electronic retail shopping services, similar to Plaintiff's Trade Dress;
- c. Otherwise infringing Plaintiff's TODAY'S SPECIAL VALUE mark, Plaintiff's TODAY'S SPECIAL VALUE design mark and/or Plaintiff's Trade Dress; and
- d. Unfairly competing with Plaintiff in any manner whatsoever.
- 2. that Defendant be required to deliver up and destroy all signs, labels, packages, literature, advertising, devices, computer hardware and software, servers and any other materials, in the

possession and/or control of Defendant, bearing the infringing designation TODAY'S BEST VALUE and/or Defendant's graphics, and all plates, molds, matrices and/or other means for making the same.

- 3. that Defendant be directed to file with the Court and serve upon Plaintiff's counsel within thirty (30) days after entry of Judgment, a report in writing and under oath setting forth in detail the manner and form in which Defendant has complied with the requirements of the Judgment and Order.
- 4. that Defendant be required to account for and pay over to Plaintiff all damages sustained by Plaintiff by reason of its unlawful acts alleged herein, and that such amounts be trebled, as provided by law.
- 5. that Defendant be required to account for and pay over to Plaintiff all profits realized by Defendant by reason of its unlawful acts alleged herein, and that such amounts be trebled, as provided by law.
- 6. that Defendant be required to pay Plaintiff punitive damages as may be permitted by law or in the discretion of this Court.
- 7. that Plaintiff have and recover its reasonable attorneys' fees incurred in this litigation.
- 8. that Plaintiff have and recover its taxable costs and disbursements herein.
 - 9. that Plaintiff have such other and further relief as the

Court may deem just and appropriate.

Respectfully submitted,

CAESAR, RIVISE, BERNSTEIN, COHEN & POKOTILOW, LTD.

May 30, 2002

Manny D. Pokotilow (ID# 13310) Salvatore Guerriero (ID# 83680) Seven Penn Center - 12th Floor

1635 Market Street

Philadelphia, PA 19103-2212

(215) 567-2010

Attorneys for Plaintiff, QVC, Inc.

Int. Cl.: 42

Prior U.S. Cls.: 100 and 101

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Reg. No. 2,330,450

Registered Mar. 21, 2000

SERVICE MARK PRINCIPAL REGISTER

TODAY'S SPECIAL VALUE

QVC, INC. (DELAWARE CORPORATION) 1365 ENTERPRISE DRIVE WEST CHESTER, PA 19380

FOR: HOME SHOPPING SERVICES IN THE FIELD OF GENERAL MERCHANDISE BY MEANS OF TELEVISION, IN CLASS 42 (U.S. CLS. 100 AND 101).

FIRST USE 2-11-1994; IN COMMERCE 2-11-1994. SEC. 2(F).

SER. NO. 74-557,924, FILED 8-5-1994.

DIANE B. MELNICK, EXAMINING ATTOR-

EXHIBIT

Case 2:02-cv-03424-JCJ Document 1 Filed 05/30/2002 Page 15 of 24



EXHIBIT 2

Program Guide | Customer Service | Shopping Cart | My QVC | Corporate | Job Openings



Quality Value Convenience



→ More Search Options







Beauty Health & Fitness

Books, Movies & Music **Hobbies & Toys**

Collectibles House & Home

Cooking & Dining Jewelry **Sports**

Electronics Clearance Fashion Gifts



Meet The Hosts− ● Program Guide



Site Map

Highlights:

Weekly Value

Picture this...the quality and convenience of the Toshiba 3.34 MegaPixel Digital Camera with 3X/2X Zoom, for only \$399.96!

Available in 2 EASY PAYS of \$199.98



In the Spotlight:



SOLD OUT LAST TIME! 14 Karat Gold Two-tone Cross

What's Hot:



Beautiful Topaz is December's **Birthstone**

This Week's Features:

SAMSUNG Slimline DVD Player, \$139.96 **OVC's Lowest Priced DVD** Plaver!



Waterford Glass Ornaments Handcrafted holiday treasures



Lissi Dolls make wonderful gifts Many at LOW Introductory **Prices**



We've got Video Games! More than 400 exciting titles



JVC S-VHS Camcorder, \$379.96 With BONUS Case and Tapes

Fall Sale Extravaganza on ArtSelect.com



Diamondbacks World Champions Tee, \$19.99



Celebrate QVC's 15th Birthday

with a week of surprises

Stan Herman's Cozy Sleepwear Each less than \$55.00



Uniden 2.4GHz Cordless Phone

with BONUS Headset,



QVC Exclusive Fenton Art Glass

Limited Edition Patriotic

Vase



Jewelry of Faith Inspirational rings, charms, and more

Get Q'd In:



Shipping **Information**



Bulletin Board



Sign Up for Valuable



Apply for a **OCard today!**



EXHIBIT



EXHIBIT

JEWELRY &

GEMSTONES

ELECTRONICS

& COMPUTERS

Shop At Home ETT W O R K

home on TV

program guide careers corporate

help 🕜

Toli Fre

shopathometycom

·· home: health, beauty & fitness: fitness: 10010556

COIN

VALET

KNIVES &

SWORDS

& FITNESS

HEALTH, BEAUTY ENTERTAINMENT & COLLECTIBLES

my member services | order tracking

HOME & APPAREL SALE!

SEARCH keyword or item #

SPORTS

MEMORABILIA

GO

advanced search

SHOW ME ...

a larger image

I WANT TO ...

see similar items email this to a friend add this to my cart checkout now!

LIVE PERSON HELP

Customer Service Chat New! 9am-6pm EST M-F



Pro-Form CX5i Treadmill item# 10010556

price: \$589.96

today's best value: \$469.96 ea s&h: \$49.99



50% OFF shipping for web orders discount displayed at checkout



⊠ADD

Too hot to exercise? Not anymore! Keep your exercise regimen on track this summer with the Pro-Form CX5i Treadmill! It features a moveable fitness fan sure to keep you cool when you're working up a sweat!

The Prof-Form CX5i Treadmill boast the following features:

- Competitor Control Panel: three LCD windows provide immediate feedback of speed, distance, time, pulse, calories and fat burned.
- LCD 1/4 mile Track: to mark your progress
- Target Thumb Pulse Sensors: allows you to track and maintain your target heart rate
- 2.25 Horsepower Engine
- 0-10 mph Speed Control
- 1.5%-10% Power Incline
- Protech Cushioned Deck: to absorb the impact of each stride, cutting down on the stress on your joints
- 18x50" Non-Slip Treadbelt
- Magazine Rack and Cup Holder
- Space Saver Design: allows you to fold up and roll away
- Measurements: Length 72", width 36", height 30"
- Weight of product: 160 pounds
- Maximum weight: 250 pounds
- Assembely time: 30 -45 minutes (tools required, Allen wrench and Phillips head screwdriver)
- 90-day Manufacturer's Limited Warranty
- Available on 3x Stretch Pay!



review checkout cart

独中国设装线



Heart Talker Heart Rate Monitor price: \$19.99 ea

ITEM#10010525 >> ADD





Ab-Doer Pro Pack price: \$99.98 ea

ITEM#10010495 >> ADD



Bollinger Trisometric Rings price: \$12.99 ea

ITEM#10010486 >> ADD





UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

APPENDIX A

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be a purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar.	ised by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the						
Address of Paintiff: QVC, Inc., Studio Park, West Ch	ester, PA 19380						
Address of Defendant: Shop at Home, Inc., 5388 Hicko	ry Hallow Parkway, Antioch, TN						
97.013 Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: E.D. PA and Elsewhe	re						
(Use Revers	e Side For Additional Space)						
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities? RELATED CASE, IF ANY:	Yes Na						
Case Number: Judge	Date Terminated:						
$\label{lem:civil cases} \textbf{are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:}$							
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within	one year previously terminated action in this court?						
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as action in this court?	a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated						
Goldin Intille Count:	Yes No						
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any eather in this case in the case of t	rlier numbered case pending or within one year previously						
terminated action in this court?	Yes No						
CIVILL (Pleas : ONE CATEOORY ONLY)							
CIVIL: (Place ☐ in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)							
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases: □						
1. LI Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	1. ☐ Insurance Contract and Other Contracts						
2. LI FELA	2. Airplane Personal Injury						
3. U Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. Assault, Defamation						
4. Antitrust	4. Marine Personal Injury						
5. Patent	5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury						
6. Labor-Management Relations	6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify)						
7. Civil Rights	7. Products Liability						
8. Habeas Corpus	8. Products Liability — Asbestos						
9. Securities Act(s) Cases	9. All other Diversity Cases						
10. Social Security Review Cases							
11. All other Federal Question Cases Lanham Act 15 USC \$\$	(Please specify)						
(Please specify)	-						
ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (Check appropriate Category)							
Manny D. Pokotilow, counsel of record do hereby	/ certify:						
Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowled exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;							
Relief other than monetary damages is sought.							
DATE: May 30, 2002 // Many Jakes	13310						
Attorney I.D.# NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.							
certifythat, to myknowledge, the within case is not related to anyoase now pending except as noted above.	or within one year previouslyterminated action in this court						
DATE: May 30, 2002 Marin tokoh	13310						
CIV. 609 (9/99)	Attorney I.D.#						
/ /							

SJS 44 (Rev. 3/99)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

APPENDIX B

The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	QVC, Inc.		DEFENDAN	TS Shop at H	Iome, Inc.
(b) County of Residence (EX	of First Listed Plaintiff	· 	NOTE: IN LAN	ence of First Listed Davi (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CAS ND CONDEMNATION CASES, U	ES ONLY)
(c) Attorney's (Firm Nar	ne, Address, and Telephone Number)	·	Attorneys (If Kr	nown)	
See att	achment				
II. BASIS OF JURISE	OICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	III. CITI	ZENSHIP OF P iversity Cases Only)	PRINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for De fendant)
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Govern ment Not a Party)	Citizer	of This State	DEF I Incorporated or of Business 1	Principal Place
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citi zenship of Parties in Item III)	Citizen	of Another State 🛚		nd Principal Place ☐ 5 ☐ 5 In Another State
IV. NATURE OF SUI			or Subject of a ign Country	3 G 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6
CONTRACT	(Place an "X" in One Box Only) TORTS	FORF	EITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of 154 general care Act 152 Recovery of Defauked Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Forec losure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor V chicle Product Liability 355 Motor V chicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONAL PROPE 380 Other Fraud Property Damage Product Liability PRODUCT Liability Style Product Liability PROPE STYLE PROP STYLE		Agriculture Other Food & Drug Drug Related Scizure of Property 21 USC Liquor Laws R.R. & Truck Airline Regs. Occupational Safety/Health Other LABOR Fair Labor Standards Act Labor/M gmt. Relations Labor/M gmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act Railway Labor Act Other Labor Litigation Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	☐ 864 SSID Title XVI	400 State Reap portionment 410 Antitust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc. 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 810 Selective Service 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 891 Agricultural Acts 892 Economic Stabilization Act 893 Environmental Matters 894 Energy Alboation Act 895 Freedom of
V. ORIGIN	ate Court Appellate Court	4 Reinsta Reopen	ted or \square 5 anothe (specified	erred from r district y)	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	ON (Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are file to not cite jurisd ictional statutes unless diversity ement, Trade Dress In:	.) 15	USC \$\frac{1}{2} 10	051 et seq Tra air Competit	admark ion
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:			AND \$ for Reli		if demanded in complaint:
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	(See (S) instructions): JUDG E			DOCKET NUMBER	
5/30/02 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY OF R	ECORD		
RECEIPT # A	MOUNAPPLYING IFP		JUDGE	MAG. JUD	OGE

APPENDIX C

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

QVC, INC. CIVIL ACTION

v.

SHOP AT HOME, INC.

In accordance with the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan of this court, counsel for plaintiff shall complete a case Management Track Designation Form in all civil cases at the time of filing the complaint and serve a copy on all defendants. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reverse side of this form.) In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a case management track designation form specifying the track to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned.

SELECT ONE OF THE FOLLOWING CASE MANAGEMENT TRACKS:

(a)	Habeas Corpus Cases brought under 28 U.S.C.	
	§2241 through §2255.	()

- (b) Social Security -- Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits. ()
- (c) Arbitration -- Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.
- (d) Asbestos -- Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos.
- (e) Special Management -- Cases that do not fall into tracks (a) through (d) that are commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense management by the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation of special management cases.)

(f) Standard Management -- Cases that do not fall into any one of the other tracks.

May 30, 2002

Date

Manny D. Pokotilow

Attorney for Plaintiff QVC, Inc.

(Civ. 660) 7/95

(XX)

()

Attorney-at-law